

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/607,500	06/30/2000	Bilal Alam	13768.143.1	9663
22913 75	590 08/12/2004		EXAMINER -	
WORKMAN NYDEGGER (F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER & SEELEY) 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER			JACOBS, LASHONDA T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2157	12
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111		DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004	·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

М

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/607,500	ALAM ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	LaShonda T Jacobs	2157
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 I 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under 	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-15,17-20,22,24 and 25 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according and according to the above claim(s) according to the above claim(s) = 10.0 according to the above claim(s) is/are: a) according to the above claim(s) is/are: a) according to the above claim(s) is/are pend according to the above claim(s) is/are withdrawing sites and according to the above claim(s) is/are withdrawing sites and according to the above claim(s) is/are withdrawing sites and according to the above claim(s) is/are allowed.	or election requirement.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the control of	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to Applicant's RCE Amendment filed on May 28, 2004. Claims 1, 17 and 24 have been amended. Claims 1-15, 17-20, 22, 24 and 25 are presented for further examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-9, 12-13, 16-19, 21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by LiVecchi.

As per claims 1 and 17, LiVecchi discloses a networked environment, wherein one or more client computer systems make requests for information from a server computer system, the server computer system providing information in response to the requests from the one or more client computer systems, the server computer system having one or more listen sockets and having a backlog queue for queuing connection requests that the server computer system cannot currently handle, a method of the server computer system reducing denials of service even though the server computer system is experiencing a denial of service attack, the method comprising:

- receiving a plurality of connection requests from said one or more client
 computer systems (col. 1, lines 51-58, col. 3, lines 3-6 and col. 7, lines 22-34);
- establishing a connection socket for at least one of the plurality of connection requests without placing the connection request in a backlog queue (col. 1, lines 51-58. col. 3, lines 3-6, col. 7, lines 22-34, col. 10, lines 52-56 and col. 11, lines 1-15);
- for each connection request for which the server computer system cannot handle currently handle establish a connection socket, placing the connection request in the backlog queue without then establishing a connection socket, wherein the backlog queue is capable of containing connection requests that include associated request data and connection requests that do not include associated request data (col. 13, lines 15-46);
- determining that the backlog queue is being used (col. 13, lines 15-46);
- in response to the determination, identifying any connection sockets that have no received request data (col. 15, line 67, col. 16, lines 1-10 and lines 18-67); and
- disconnecting the identified connections sockets (col. 15, line 67, col. 16, lines
 1-10 and lines 18-67).

As per claim 2, LiVecchi further discloses:

mapping each connection request to a corresponding listen socket (col. 3, lines
 16-40 and col. 12, lines 5-22).

As per claim 3, LiVecchi discloses:

wherein each listen socket has a corresponding backlog queue (col. 13, lines 15-46, col. 15, line 67 and col. 16, lines 1-10).

As per claims 4 and 19, LiVecchi discloses:

wherein placing the connection request in a backlog queue comprises placing the
request in the backlog queue corresponding to the listen socket that the
connection request mapped to (col. 3, lines 16-40, col. 12, lines 5-22, col. 15,
line 67 and col. 16, lines 1-10).

As per claim 6, LiVecchi discloses:

• wherein establishing a connection socket for at least one of the plurality of connection requests comprises calling a module that accepts connections and waits for associated request data before completing (col. 9, lines 66-67, col. 10, lines 1-18, lines 27-47, col. 11, lines 66-67, col. 12, lines 1-35 and col. 16, lines 18-67).

As per claim 8, LiVecchi further discloses:

- monitoring the backlog queue, the determination being made while monitoring the backlog queue (col. 3, lines 16-29, lines 15-46 and col. 15, lines 13-36).
 As per claim 9, LiVecchi discloses:
- wherein determining that the backlog queue is being used comprises detecting that the module that scans at least the backlog queue has returned (col. 3, lines 16-40, col. 9, lines 66-67, col. 10, lines 1-18, lines 27-47, col. 11, lines 66-67, col. 12, lines 1-35 and col. 16, lines 18-67).

As per claim 12, LiVecchi discloses:

• wherein monitoring the backlog queue comprises calling a module that scans at least the backlog queue for activity (col. 3, lines 16-40, col. 9, lines 66-67, col.

Art Unit: 2157

10, lines 1-18, lines 27-47, col. 11, lines 66-67, col. 12, lines 1-35 and col. 16, lines 18-67).

As per claim 13, LiVecchi discloses wherein identifying any connection sockets that have connections but no received request data comprises the following:

calling a module that identifies the state of the connection socket (col. 15, line
 67, col. 16, lines 1-10, and lines 18-67).

As per claim 18, LiVecchi further discloses:

computer-executable instructions for mapping each connection request to a corresponding listen socket, wherein each listen socket has a corresponding backlog queue ().col. 3, lines 16-40, col. 10, lines 27-47, col. 12, lines 5-22, col. 13, lines 15-46, col. 15, line 67 and col. 16, lines 1-10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5, 7, 10-11, 14-15, 20, 22, and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LiVecchi in view of Srikantan et al (hereinafter, "Srikantan", 2001/0029548).

As per claim 5, LiVecchi discloses:

 wherein establishing a connection socket for at least one of the plurality of connection requests (col. 1, lines 51-58, col. 3, lines 3-6 and col. 7, lines 22-34).

However, LiVecchi does not explicitly disclose:

using a Winsock module.

Srikantan discloses a method and apparatus for handling events received at a mediastreaming server including:

 using a Winsock module (paragraphs 0017-0019, 0030, 0035-0038, 0041-0043 and 0054-0056).

Given the teaching of Srikantan, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify LiVecchi by specifying the application program interface as Winsock API module since the same functionality is achieved.

As per claim 7, LiVecchi discloses:

wherein the module accepts connects and waits for associated request data
 before completing (col. 9, lines 66-67, col. 10, lines 1-18, lines 27-47, col. 11,
 lines 66-67, col. 12, lines 1-35 and col. 16, lines 18-67).

However, LiVecchi does not explicitly disclose:

• a Winsock()AcceptEx()module.

Srikantan discloses a method and apparatus for handling events received at a mediastreaming server including:

a Winsock()AcceptEx()module (paragraphs 0017-0019, 0030, 0035-0038, 0041-0043 and 0054-0056).

Art Unit: 2157

Given the teaching of Srikantan, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify LiVecchi by specifying the application program interface as Winsock API module since the same functionality is achieved.

As per claim 10, LiVecchi discloses:

wherein the module that scans at least the backlog queue for activity (col. 3, lines 16-40, col. 9, lines 66-67, col. 10, lines 1-18, lines 27-47, col. 13, lines 15-46, col. 15, line 67, col. 16, lines 1-10 and lines 18-67).

However, LiVeechi does not explicitly disclose:

Winsock()select()module.

Srikantan discloses a method and apparatus for handling events received at a mediastreaming server including:

 Winsock()select()module (paragraphs 0017-0019, 0030, 0035-0038, 0041-0043 and 0054-0056).

Given the teaching of Srikantan, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify LiVecchi by specifying the application program interface as Winsock API module since the same functionality is achieved.

As per claim 11, LiVecchi discloses:

 wherein determining that the backlog queue is being (col. 3, lines 16-29, col. 13, lines 15-46 and col. 15, lines 13-36)

However, LiVecchi does not explicitly disclose:

detecting that the Winsock()select()module has returned.

Srikantan discloses a method and apparatus for handling events received at a mediastreaming server including:

 detecting that the Winsock()select()module has returned (paragraphs 0017-0019, 0030, 0035-0038, 0041-0043 and 0054-0056).

Given the teaching of Srikantan, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify LiVecchi by specifying the application program interface as Winsock API module since the same functionality is achieved.

As per claim 14, LiVecchi discloses:

wherein the module that identifies the state of the connection socket (col. 1, lines
 51-58, col. 3, lines 3-6 and col. 7, lines 22-34)

However, LiVecchi does not explicitly disclose:

• a Winsock()getsockopt()module.

Srikantan discloses a method and apparatus for handling events received at a mediastreaming server including:

 a Winsock()getsockopt()module (paragraphs 0017-0019, 0030, 0035-0038, 0041-0043 and 0054-0056).

Given the teaching of Srikantan, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify LiVecchi by specifying the application program interface as Winsock API module since the same functionality is achieved.

As per claim 20, LiVecchi further discloses:

 computer-executable instructions establishing a connection socket for at least one of the plurality of connection requests (col. 1, lines 51-58, col. 3, lines 306 and col. 7, lines 22-34).

However, LiVecchi does not explicitly disclose:

at least portions of Winsock module.

Srikantan discloses a method and apparatus for handling events received at a mediastreaming server including:

 at least portions of Winsock module (paragraphs 0017-0019, 0030, 0035-0038, 0041-0043 and 0054-0056).

Given the teaching of Srikantan, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify LiVecchi by specifying the application program interface as Winsock API module since the same functionality is achieved.

As per claim 24, LiVecchi discloses a networked environment, wherein one or more client computer system make requests for information from a server computer system, the server computer system providing information in response to the requests from the one or more client computer systems, the server computer system having one or more listen sockets, each listen socket having a backlog queue for queuing connection requests that the server computer system cannot currently handle, a method of the server computing system reducing denials of service even though the server computer system is experiencing a denial of service attack, the method comprising:

- receiving a plurality of connection requests from said one or more client computer systems (col. 1, lines 51-58, col. 3, lines 3-6 and col. 7, lines 22-34);
- establishing a connection socket for at least one of the plurality of connection requests module without placing the connection request in a backlog queue (col. 1, lines 51-58, col. 3, lines 3-6, col. 7, lines 22-34, col. 10, lines 52-56 and col. 11, lines 1-15);
- mapping each connection request to a corresponding listen socket (col. 3, lines 16-40 and col. 12, lines 5-22);

Art Unit: 2157

- for each connection request for which the server computer system cannot handle currently handle establish a connection socket, placing the connection request in the backlog queue without then establishing a connection socket, wherein the backlog queue is capable of containing connection requests that include associated request data and connection requests that do not include associated request data (col. 13, lines 15-46);
- monitoring the backlog queue (col. 3, lines 16-29, col. 13, lines 15-46 and col. 15, lines 13-36);
- determining that the backlog queue is being used (col. 3, lines 16-29, col. 13, lines 15-46 and col. 15, lines 13-36);
- identifying any connection sockets that have connections but no received request data (col. 15, line 67, col. 16, lines 1-10 and 18-67); and
- disconnecting the identified connection sockets (col. 15, line 67, col. 16, lines 1-10 and line 18-67).

However, LiVecchi does not explicitly disclose:

at least portions of Winsock module.

Srikantan discloses a method and apparatus for handling events received at a mediastreaming server including:

• at least portions of Winsock module (paragraphs 0017-0019, 0030, 0035-0038, 0041-0043 and 0054-0056).

Given the teaching of Srikantan, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify LiVecchi by specifying the application program interface as Winsock API module since the same functionality is achieved.

Art Unit: 2157

As per claims 15, 22, and 25, LiVecchi further discloses:

• specifying a grace period spanning the time the backlog queue is determined to be used and the time the identified sockets are disconnected, wherein the disconnection is performed only if the backlog queue still has entries after the grace period (col. 13, lines 15-67 and col. 14, lines 1-26).

Response to Arguments

The Office notes the following arguments:

- a. LiVecchi is directed towards thread scheduling techniques for multithreaded servers (LiVecchi, Title), and is not directed towards countering denial of service attacks. As part of the thread scheduling, however, LiVecchi teaches various queuing techniques for responding to incoming requests.
- b. LiVecchi does not disclose a backlog queue that includes connection requests without regard for whether or not the connection requests include associated data.
- (a) In response to applicant's arguments, the recitation "the independent claims specifically recite that the claim is directed towards a method of the server computer system reducing denials of service" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re*

Hirao, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

(b) LiVecchi discloses a queue backlog that maintains connection requests with and without associated data (col. 13, lines 15-47). Therefore, LiVecchi does disclose a backlog queue that includes connection requests without regard for whether or not the connection requests include associated data.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LaShonda T. Jacobs whose telephone number is 703-305-7494. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 703-308-7562. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

LaShonda T. Jacobs

Examiner

Art Unit 2157

8/3/2004

MOUSTAFA M. MEKY PAIMARY EXAMINER